Vessel	Number	Masthead lights, arc of visibility; Rule 21(a)	Side lights, arc of visibility; Rule 21(b)	Stern light, arc of visibility; Rule 21(c)	Side lights, distance inboard of ship's sides in meters; § 3(b), Annex I	Stern light, distance forward of stern in meters; Rule 21(c)	Forward anchor light, height above hull in meters; § 2(k), Annex I	Anchor lights, relationship of aft light to forward light in meters; § 2(k), Annex I
YTB-806	YTB-806				2.79	10.97		Elitabeth .

Paragraph 14, Table Four of § 706.2 is amended by adding the following vessel:

Vessel No.	Distance in meters of aux. masthead light below minimum required height.  Annex I, § 2(a)(i)		
YTB-806	3.58		

Dated: December 14, 1989.
Approved: December 27, 1989.
E.D. Stumbaugh,
Rear Admiral, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Judge
Advocate General.

[FR Doc. 90-2 Filed 1-2-90; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-AE-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 100

[CGD 05-89-5108]

Special Local Regulations for Marine Events; New Years Eve Fireworks Display; Inner Harbor, Baltimore, MD

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT. ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Special local regulations are being adopted for the New Years Eve Fireworks Display to be held at the Inner Harbor, Baltimore, Maryland. The fireworks will be launched from a barge anchored in the Inner Harbor approximately 200 yards south of Pier 6, Baltimore, Maryland. These regulations are necessary to control spectator craft and to provide for the safety of life and property on navigable waters during the event.

EFFECTIVE DATES: These regulations are effective from 11:00 p.m. December 31, 1989 to 1:30 a.m. January 1, 1990.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Stephen L. Phillips, Chief, Boating Affairs Branch, Boating Safety Division, Fifth Coast Guard District, 431 Crawford Street, Portsmouth, Virginia 23704–5004, [804] 398–6204.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553, a notice of proposed rulemaking has not been published for these regulations and good cause exists for making them effective in less than 30 days from the date of

publication. Adherence to normal rulemaking procedures would not have been possible. Specifically, the sponsor's application to hold the event was not received in the district office until December 18, 1989, leaving insufficient time to publish a notice of proposed rulemaking in advance of the event.

### **Drafting Information**

The drafters of this notice are QM1 Kevin R. Connors, project officer, Boating Affairs Branch, Fifth Coast Guard District, and Lieutenant Steven M. Fitten, project attorney, Fifth Coast Guard District Legal Staff.

#### Discussion of Regulations

The Baltimore Office of Promotion submitted an application dated November 20, 1989 to hold a New Years Eve fireworks display at the Inner Harbor, Baltimore, Maryland. The fireworks will be launched from a barge anchored in the Inner Harbor approximately 200 yards south of Pier 6, Baltimore, Maryland. These regulations are necessary to control spectator craft and to provide for the safety of life and property on navigable waters during the event. Since the main shipping channel will not be closed for an extended period, commercial traffic should not be severely disrupted.

#### **Economic Assessment and Certification**

These regulations are not considered either major under Executive Order 12291 on Federal Regulation or significant under Department of Transportation regulatory policies and procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). The economic impact is expected to be so minimal that a full regulatory evaluation is unnecessary. Because of this minimal impact, the Coast Guard certifies that these regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### Federalism Assessment

This action has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612, and it has been determined that the final rule does not raise sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

## **Environmental Impact**

This final rule has been thoroughly reviewed by the Coast Guard and has been determined to be categorically excluded from further environmental documentation in accordance with section 2.B.2.c of Commandant Instruction M16475.1B. A Categorical Exclusion Determination statement has been prepared and has been placed in permanent regulations 33 CFR 100.515 rulemaking docket.

## List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine Safety, Navigation (water).

#### **Final Regulations**

In consideration of the foregoing, part 100 of title 33, Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1233; 49 CFR 1.46 and 33 CFR 100.35.

2. A temporary § 100.35-5108 is added to read as follows:

## § 100.35-5108 Inner Harbor, Baltimore, Maryland.

- (a) Definitions—(1) Regulated area.

  The waters of the Inner Harbor bounded by the arc of a circle with a radius of 600 feet and with its center located at latitude 39°16'51.8" North, longitude 76°36'14.2" West.
- (2) Coast Guard Patrol Commander.
  The Coast Guard Patrol Commander is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer who has been designated by the Commander, Coast Guard Group Baltimore.
- (b) Special Local Regulations. (1) Except for persons or vessels authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the regulated area.
- (2) The operator of any vessel in the immediate vicinity of this area shall:
- (i) Stop the vessel immediately when directed to do so by any commissioned, warrant, or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard ensign.
- (ii) Proceed as directed by any commissioned, warrant or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard ensign.
- (3) Any spectator vessel may anchor outside of the regulated area specified in

paragraph (a)(1) of these regulations, but may not block a navigable channel.

(c) Effective Dates: These regulations are effective from 11:00 p.m. December 31, 1989 to 1:30 a.m. January 1, 1990.

Dated: December 21, 1989.

H. B. Gehring,

Captain, US Coast Guard, Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District, Acting.

[FR Doc. 90-18 Filed 1-2-90; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

## 33 CFR Part 110 [CCGD11-89-14]

### Anchorage Ground; Long Beach Harbor, CA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT. ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is redefining Commercial Anchorage D in Long Beach Harbor. In 1988, the Port of Long Beach began construction on the Pier J Expansion Project which will ultimately lead to the creation of 147 acres of new landfill. This new land will be situated in the present northwest end of Commercial Anchorage D. This regulation redefines Commercial Anchorage D to reflect the changes imposed by the Pier J Expansion Project.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LTJG Mike Lodge, Aids to Navigation and Waterways Management Branch, Eleventh Coast Guard District, 400 Oceangate, Long Beach, CA 90822, telephone (213) 499–5419.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On 28 July 1989, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking in the Federal Register for these regulations (54 FR 31059). Interested persons were requested to submit comments and no comments were received. The coordinate datum has been changed from NAD 27 to NAD 83 to reflect the most recent chart edition published (Chart 18751, 32nd edition Aug 19, 1989). Two additional sets of coordinates were also added to better define the anchorage area.

#### **Drafting Information**

The drafters of this rule are LTJG Mike Lodge, Project Officer, and LCDR J. J. Jaskot, Project Attorney, Eleventh Coast Guard District Legal Office.

## **Economic Assessment and Certification:**

These regulations are considered to be non-major under Executive Order 12291 on Federal Regulation and nonsignificant under Department of Transportation regulatory policies and procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). The economic impact of this proposal is expected to be so minimal that a full regulatory evaluation is unnecessary. The re-configuration of Commercial Anchorage D will only reduce the total number of available commercial anchorages in Long Beach from 11 to 10. This number is suitable for present port needs.

Since the impact of these regulations is expected to be minimal, the Coast Guard certifies that they will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The Coast Guard has also determined that this regulation does not involve sufficient Federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism assessment.

## List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 110

Anchorage Grounds.

## Regulations

In consideration of the foregoing, part 110 of title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

#### PART 110—ANCHORAGE REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 110 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 471, 2030, 2035 and 2071; 49 CFR 1.46 and 33 CFR 1.05–1(g). Section 110.1a and each section listed in 110.1a is also issued under 33 U.S.C. 1223 and 1231.

2. Section 110.214, paragraph (a)(4) is revised to read as follows:

# § 110.214 Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbors, California

(a) The Anchorage Grounds.

(1) \* \* \*

(4) Commercial Anchorage D (Long Beach Harbor). The waters bounded by a line connecting the following points:

Latitude	Longitude		
33°43′23.5″N	118°10'51.2"W		
33°43′23.5″N	118"09'50.4"W		
33°44′25.8″N	118°09'50.2"W		
33°44′18.9″N	118°11'10.5"W		
33°44'10.9"N	118°11'07.7"W		
33"43'58.3"N	118°11'07.7"W		
33°43'58.6"N	118"11'44,7"W		

and thence to the point of beginning. Datum: NAD 83

(i) In this anchorage the requirements of commercial ships over 244m (approximately 800 ft.) shall predominate.

(ii) Bunkering and lightering operations are permitted in this anchorage. Note: A portion of this anchorage is within the Explosives Anchorage Area, when the explosive anchorage is activated by the Captain of the Port. See 110.214(a)(17).

Dated: 27 December 1989.

#### J.W. Kime,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Eleventh Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 90-17 Filed 1-2-90; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 4

### Schedule for Rating Disabilities; Diseases of the Peripheral Nerves

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) is correcting information concerning the Schedule for Rating Disabilities table for Diseases of the Peripheral Nerves that was published on page 49754 of the Federal Register dated December 1, 1989.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 27, 1989.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joel Drembus, Regulations Staff, Compensation and Pension Service (211B), Veterans Benefits

Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420, (202) 233–3005.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORAMTION: In item 8525 of 38 CFR 4.124a, the table of Diseases of the Peripheral Nerves the word "frequency" should read "frequently" and is hereby corrected.

#### List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 3

Disability benefits, Pensions, Veterans.

Dated: December 27, 1989.

### Doneld R. Howell,

Acting Chief, Directives Management Division.

# PART 4—SCHEDULE FOR RATING DISABILITIES

In 38 CFR Part 4, Schedule for Rating Disabilities, the table in § 4.124a titled Diseases of the Peripheral Nerves is revised to read as follows:

§ 4.124a Schedule of ratings neurological conditions and convulsive disorders.

# DISEASES OF THE PERIPHERAL NERVES

[FR Doc. 90-24 Filed 1-2-90; 8:45 sm] BILLING CODE 8320-01-M

#### FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

44 CFR Part 64

[Docket No. FEMA 6858]

## Suspension of Community Eligibility

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, FEMA. ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule lists communities, where the sale of flood insurance has been authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), that are suspended on the effective dates listed within this rule because of noncompliance with the floodplain management requirements of the program. If FEMA receives documentation that the community has adopted the required floodplain management measures prior to the effective suspension date given in this rule, the suspension will be withdrawn by publication in the Federal Register. EFFECTIVE DATES: The third date ("Susp.") listed in the fourth column.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Frank H. Thomas, Assistant
Administrator, Office of Loss Reduction,
Federal Insurance Administration, (202)
646–2717, Federal Center Plaza, 500 C
Street, Southwest, Room 417,
Washington, DC 20472.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), enables property owners to purchase flood insurance at rates made reasonable through a Federal subsidy. In

return, communities agree to adopt and administer local floodplain management aimed at protecting lives and new construction from future flooding. Section 1315 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended [42 U.S.C. 4022), prohibits flood insurance coverage as authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128) unless an appropriate public body shall have adopted adequate floodplain management measures with effective enforcement measures. The communities listed in this notice no longer meet that statutory requirement for compliance with program regulations (44 CFR part 59 et. seq.). Accordingly, the communities will be suspended on the effective date in the fourth column. As of that date, flood insurance will no longer be available in the community. However, some of these communities may adopt and submit the required documentation of legally enforceable floodplain management measures after this rule is published but prior to the actual suspension date. These communities will not be suspended and will continue their eligibility for the sale of insurance. A notice withdrawing the suspension of the communities will be published in the Federal Register. In the interim, if you wish to determine if a particular community was suspended on the suspension date, contact the appropriate FEMA Regional Office or the NFIP servicing contractor.

In addition, the Federal Emergency Management Agency has identified the special flood hazard areas in these communities by publishing a Flood Hazard Boundary Map. The date of the flood map if one has been published, is indicated in the fifth column of the table. No direct Federal financial assistance (except assistance pursuant to the Disaster Relief Act of 1974 not in connection with a flood) may legally be provided for construction or acquisition of buildings in the identified special flood hazard area of communities not participating in the NFIP and identified for more than a year, on the Federal Emergency Management Agency's initial flood insurance map of the community as having flood-prone areas. (Section 202(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub.L. 93-234), as amended). This prohibition against

certain types of Federal assistance becomes effective for the communities listed on the date shown in the last column.

. The Administrator finds that notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are impracticable and unnecessary because communities listed in this final rule have been adequately notified.

Each community receives a 6-month, 90-day, and 30-day notification addressed to the Chief Executive Officer that the community will be suspended unless the required floodplain management measures are met prior to the effective suspension date. For the same reasons, this final rule may take effect within less than 30 days.

Pursuant to the provision of 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the Administrator, Federal Insurance Administration, FEMA. hereby certifies that this rule if promulgated will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. As stated in Section 2 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, the establishment of local floodplain management together with the availability of flood insurance decreases the economic impact of future flood losses to both the particular community and the nation as a whole. This rule in and of itself does not have a significant economic impact. Any economic impact results from the community's decision not to (adopt) (enforce) adequate floodplain management, thus placing itself in noncompliance of the Federal standards required for community participation. In each entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community.

#### List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 64

Flood insurance—floodplains

 The authority citation for part 64 continues to read as follows:

## PART 64-[AMENDED]

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4001 et. seq., Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, E.O. 12127.

Section 64.6 is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence new entries to the table.

§ 64.8 List of eligible communities.

State and location	Community No.	Effective date authorization/ cancellation of sale of flood insurance in community	Current effective map	Date certain Federal assistance no longer available in special flood hazard areas
Region I Connecticut: Warren, town of, Litchfield County.	090175	Feb. 13, 1976, Emerg. Jan. 3, 1990, Reg. Jan. 3, 1990, Susp.	Jan. 3, 1990	Jan. 3, 1990.